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Abstract. In this paper we describe our experience in modeling and using sensor knowledge of a national hydrologic information system in Spain. We developed a web application called VSAIH supported by a knowledge-based system to analyze sensor data and to generate explanations that help users to make decisions based on hydrologic behavior. In the paper, we describe the characteristics of the infrastructure of hydrologic sensors and the representation we used to model sensor knowledge to provide support to the VSAIH application. We also describe semi-automatic procedures that we applied to construct the final model.

1 Introduction

SAIH is an information system based on an infrastructure of sensor devices and telecommunications networks in the main river basins of Spain (SAIH is the Spanish acronym for Automatic System Information in Hydrology). The main goal of the SAIH system is to help to know in real time the state of the rivers. Currently, the most important basins in Spain (Ebro, Tajo, Júcar, etc.) have installed this infrastructure fully operational.

The SAIH information system is a good example of a system that includes a geographically distributed sensor network that records valuable data for different types of goals (natural disasters, climate change, water management, energy production, etc.) and actors (local governments, scientists, etc.). The current initiatives about sensor web for globally distributed data acquisition [2] and semantic sensor web [9] may provide solutions to improve the capabilities of sharing and analyzing sensor data as well as potential interoperability between systems. This is especially important in the hydrologic domain where there is specialized knowledge about the diverse physical phenomena that is distributed among different local institutions.

In this paper we present our experience in modeling and using sensor knowledge for the case of the SAIH information system. We developed the VSAIH application that interprets and analyzes sensor data to provide explanations to help to make decisions to different types of user. In the paper, we describe the SAIH Information System with the different types of sensors. We describe the VSAIH application that interprets and explains sensor data according to different communicative goals. We also describe the representation we used to model sensor knowledge and the semi-automatic procedures that we applied to construct the model.
2 The SAIH Information System

The SAIH National Program (Spanish acronym for Automatic System Information in Hydrology) was initiated in Spain at the end of the eighties [3]. The goal of this program was to install sensor devices and telecommunications networks in the main river basins to get on real time in control centers hydrologic information about the state of the rivers. Currently, the most important basins in Spain (Ebro, Tajo, Júcar, etc.) include this infrastructure.

The SAIH system includes different types of sensors such as pluviometers that record information such as rainfall at certain locations, sensors for water levels, and sensors for flow discharge in reservoirs and flows in certain river channels. There are nine SAIH control centers in Spain, one for each main basin (Ebro, Tajo, Júcar, Segura, etc.). Using the SAIH system, information is recorded periodically and sent to the control centers (e.g., every hour, 30 minutes or 15 minutes).

Figure 1: Web application provided by the Spanish Ministry of Environment about hydrologic data from the SAIH system at national level. This screen shows the geographical locations in Spain where a user can consult real time data about water flows in rivers.
Control centers process and store the data in local databases. In addition, the Ministry of Environment of Spain coordinates and integrates recorded data in a global database. Part of this information is accessible through web applications (see figures 1 and 2).

Figure 2: Web application provided by the Ebro basin (Confederación Hidrográfica del Ebro) about hydrologic data from the SAIH system. This screen shows the geographical locations in the Ebro basin where a user can consult real time data about water flows and water levels in rivers.

Figure 3 summarizes the number of sensors installed in different river basins. The characteristics of the sensors are the following:

- **Pluviometer (P):** It is a device responsible for measuring the precipitation of rain at the point of the basin in which it is located. The units are millimeters per hour. These are the majority of SAIH sensors and represent nearly 55% of the total set of sensors.
- **Flow sensor (Q):** A flow station is a device located on the riverbed to measure its flow. It is measured in cubic meters per second.
- **Level station (N, C):** A level station is a device located at a reservoir or a river. A level sensor measures the water level of the river or the dam on which it is located. It is measured in meters with respect to sea level.
- **Volume station (Y):** A volume station is located at the dam of a reservoir to measure the volume of water stored in it. For practical reasons, this is considered as a sensor but actually it is deduced locally from the level of the reservoir. It is measured in hectometers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Sensor type</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ebro Basin</td>
<td>P Q Y N C</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajo Basin</td>
<td>191 57 45 48 44</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalquivir Basin</td>
<td>126 11 62 62 0</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadiana Basin</td>
<td>183 33 12 20 0</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Júcar Basin</td>
<td>124 38 23 23 0</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norte Basin</td>
<td>81 45 21 21 0</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sur Basin</td>
<td>99 0 12 12 15</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segura Basin</td>
<td>64 27 15 15 0</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataluña Basin</td>
<td>56 40 12 12 0</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1171 399 262 273 59</strong></td>
<td><strong>2164</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Summary of available sensors in the SAIH system.

In many cases, sensors of different types share location and communications as well as other functions (water and air quality, etc). Reservoirs usually have pluviometers and level sensor beside others. Riverbeds usually have pluviometers together with flow sensor or level sensor. The SAIH infrastructure also includes specific telecommunication devices (radio emitter-receiver systems, optical fiber networks, etc.) that establish the communication between the sensors and the control center of the basin.

3 The VSAIH Application

VSAIH is a web application supported by a knowledge-based system [7] for generating multimedia descriptions that summarize the behavior of hydrologic networks controlled by the SAIH system. We developed this system to help users that need to interpret and analyze the behavior of rivers and make decisions according to prefixed management goals. Our system generates presentations using different modes such as text in natural language (as it is done by other data-to-text systems [8][11][4]) and, also, dynamic illustrations (for example, animations, interactive geographic maps and 2D graphics).

VSAIH uses a system model with a representation of the hydrologic system based on components and causal influences. VSAIH includes an abstraction generator that uses the system model to find relevant data and condense it at an appropriate level of abstraction. In addition, VSAIH includes a hierarchical planner to generate a presentation using a presentation model with discourse patterns as it is done by other multimedia presentation systems [1][5][10].

We developed for VSAIH a common system model that includes sensor knowledge. In addition, we developed three other presentation models according to three different management goals: flood risk, water management, and sensor validation. For example, the flood risk management goal is to avoid river floods. In this case, control actions are oriented to operate reservoirs to avoid problems produced by floods and, if problems cannot be avoided, to send information to public institutions in order to plan defensive actions. For this goal, the summaries report relevant
information of the river basin from the point of view of potential or existing floods. In the following sections, we describe more details about how we represented sensor knowledge for the VSAIH application.

4 Sensor Knowledge Representation

In order to represent sensor knowledge for the VSAIH application, we use a knowledge representation following a component-based approach. We use a formalization based on many-sorted first order logic [6].

We use the following basic sorts: component represents a physical object of the system (for example, a reservoir or a river), quantity is a quantitative property of a component (e.g., the temperature or the pressure), and sensor is a device used to measure observable quantities of components. More specific components can be related to more general components (with the is-a relation) by defining subsorts of the sort component with the notation sort s: t (where s is subsort of t). For example, sort reservoir: component defines the subsort reservoir of the sort component.

To characterize qualitative properties of the components we use the following sorts: state represents the qualitative state of a component in the present moment (for example, the state of a reservoir is empty), recent state, represents the state of a component in a recent time interval (e.g., the last 24 hours) and it is usually de-
scribed in a more abstract level than the state, trend, represents the trend of a state (for example, with the set of values \{increase, steady, decrease\}) and quantification is a sort that quantifies states for a given population (for example, with the set of values \{all, many, few\}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicate</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>part-of(x, y)</td>
<td>Component x is part of component y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measure(x, y, z)</td>
<td>Sensor x measures the quantity y of component z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cause(x, y, z, u, t)</td>
<td>Quantity x of component y is cause of quantity z of component u with a delay t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement(x, y)</td>
<td>Sensor x measures time series y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value(x, y, t, v)</td>
<td>Quantity x of a single component y with t_scope t has the value v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value(x, y, t, z, v)</td>
<td>Quantity x of a complex component y with t_scope t and c_scope z has the value v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>state(x, y)</td>
<td>The state of component x is y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recent_state(x, y)</td>
<td>The recent state of component x is y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trend(x, y)</td>
<td>The trend of the state of component x is y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantification(x, y)</td>
<td>The quantification of the state of component x is y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>state_category(x, y, z)</td>
<td>The state z is a category of the state y of component x.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Examples of predicates to represent knowledge about the dynamic system.

In order cope with different levels of abstraction, our representation also includes the scope of certain affirmations. For this purpose, we use the concept of relative scope to a specific domain. We use two sorts: t_scope which defines a temporal scope and c_scope which defines the scope in a set of subcomponents that are part of a given component. For example, a possible value for temporal scope is max(n) that means the maximum value for the last n hours.

Figure 5 shows a list of predicates to represent knowledge about the dynamic system. For example, to represent structural relations we use the predicate part-of(x: component, y: component) for the part-of relation and measure(x: sensor, y: quantity, z: component) to relate sensors and quantities of components. The predicate cause(x: quantity, y: component, z: quantity, u: component, t: number) represents a direct causal influence between two quantities. The relation includes a temporal delay between the cause and effect.

To represent the value of a particular quantity we use the predicate value(x: quantity, y: component, t: t_scope, v: value) for the case of a single component. This predicate defines the value for the quantity of a component with a particular temporal scope. For example, value(temperature, tank-T3, current, 120) represents that the current temperature of tank-T3 is 120 and value(volume, reservoir-R8, min(24), 18) represents that the minimum volume of reservoir-R8 in the last 24 hours is 18. This predicate also helps to represent historical information about behavior (e.g., average values, maximum historical values, etc.). The predicate for the case of complex components is value(x: quantity, y: component, t: t_scope, z: c_scope, v: value). It includes an additional argument for c_scope. For example, value(rain, Spain, current, max, 27) represents that, at the present moment, the maximum rain in the set of points (where rain is measured) that are part of Spain is 27.
To interpret the current state of a component we use the predicates \( \text{state}(x: \text{component}, y: \text{state}) \), \( \text{trend}(x: \text{component}, y: \text{state}) \) and \( \text{quantification}(x: \text{component}, y: \text{quantification}) \). For example the tuple \( <\text{state}(\text{Spain}, \text{heavy-rain}), \text{trend}(\text{Spain}, \text{decrease}), \text{quantification}(\text{Spain}, \text{few})> \) represents that there is a decreasing heavy rain in a few points of Spain. It is also possible to use the predicate \( \text{recent-state}(x: \text{component}, y: \text{state}) \) for a recent time interval.

5 Model development

The available information about SAIH sensors through the web application of the Spanish Ministry of Environment includes basic information such as the identification code, the sensor type (pluviometer, flow sensor, etc.) and the geographical location (latitude, longitude in UTM format). However, in order to construct a model for the VSAIH system, it is necessary to associate to sensors additional information that currently is not present in this database. This includes, for example: geographical administrations (provinces, regions, etc.), natural formations (rivers, lakes, etc.), historical values (maximum value, average value, etc.), causal influences among sensors due to downstream flow, standard names in natural language (the existing text descriptions do not follow a standard approach), etc.

For this purpose, we applied a knowledge acquisition process supported by automated tools (developed in our own research group) using additional information sources. Examples of these knowledge sources include (1) geographic information such as raster files with digital elevation models and vector data files with rivers, reservoirs, basins, dams, administrative limits (provinces, regions, etc.), (2) web applications with publicly available information, such as www.geonames.org that provides names for different locations and web pages with hydrologic information provided by local SAIH control centers.

Some of the automated procedures that we performed to build the model were the following:

- **Spatial analysis.** We used information provided by geographical data in raster files and vector data files to create associations between model components. For example, we used the geographic location of sensors to associate each sensor (flow sensor or level sensor) to the corresponding river by using the vector data files with multi-lines describing the shape of river channels. In addition, we established causal relations, represented with the predicate \( \text{cause}(x, y) \), with spatial analysis. We distinguished two different cases for causal relations: (1) \( \text{causes associated to pluviometers} \), for each type of flow or level sensor we selected nearby pluviometers using a prefixed maximum distance, (2) \( \text{causes associated to river channels} \), we analyzed geographic multi-lines of rivers and elevation models to establish the causal relations based on a downstream influence of water flows and water levels.

- **Statistical analysis of historical values.** We consulted web pages and processed databases to obtain historical values required for the model (average, maximum, minimum, etc). These values are useful to select relevant values according to prefixed goals.
Text processing for sensor names. We constructed for each sensor an appropriate unique name in natural language. This task is essential to generate understandable text summaries. For this task, we used the complete information of the sensor (for example, UTM coordinates, type of sensor, river, region, etc.) together with a rule base (with conventions about names and certain heuristics) and the web application www.geonames.org. For instance, we automatically constructed the name [río Guadalquivir en Andújar] (river Guadalquivir at Andújar) for a sensor that originally had the description [M10_GLQUVIR_AND].

As a result of this process, we developed a model that includes 14,337 elements distributed in the following way: 1,864 values of sort sensor, 2,230 values of sort component, 2,229 instances of predicate part_of(x, y), 1,864 instances of predicate measure(x, y, z), 2,068 instances of value(x, y, t, v) (e.g., maximum value and average value), 2,295 instances of cause(x, y, z, u, t) for pluviometers, 687 instances of cause(x, y, z, u, t) for river channels.

The model was implemented in Prolog language. We evaluated the model with the VSAIH application in continuous operation for more than one year with the help of three experts in hydrology. The VSAIH application includes three other models (for flood risk, water management and sensor validation) that share this common sensor model. The current version generates summaries by processing every hour 44,736 numerical measures (for each sensor, a time series for the last 24 hours, a value per hour).

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have described our experience in modeling and using sensor knowledge for the case of a national hydrologic information system. In the paper, we have described how we developed sensor models for the VSAIH application that interprets and analyzes sensor data to provide explanations to help to make decisions to different types of users.

We applied a semi-automatic knowledge acquisition process to construct the model. In this process, we performed certain operations (spatial analysis, statistical analysis and text processing) to capture and represent knowledge from different information sources (geographical information systems, public web sites and specific databases).

This domain is an example of a system that includes a geographically distributed sensor network that records valuable data for different types of goals and users. Our future work includes using techniques about data sharing and semantic web in this domain. Standard semantic annotations for sensor knowledge (for example, historical values, geographic information, causal influences and standards about names) can be useful to help in the automatic creation and maintenance of models that use sensor data for specific purposes. We expect that the semantic sensor web approach may provide solutions to improve the capabilities of sharing knowledge between different institutions and users interested in hydrologic information (e.g., scientists, local governments, coordination groups, etc.).
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